

REMARKS

In the FINAL Rejection, the Examiner objected to claims 1, 10, 11, 12, and 16 because of informalities in the specification. By the proposed amendment in attached page 2, literal support for phrase “at least 20 inches” in claim 1 has been added to the specification.

Regarding the formal rejection of claims 12 and 16, the phrase “on the order of” was added to the specification at page 3, lines 18 and 19, by the Amendment filed December 23, 2005. It is therefore submitted that claims 12 and its dependent claims 13, 14 and 16 are allowable.

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner indicated that claims 10, 11, and 16 would be allowable if the formal objections thereof were overcome. Since literal support for the phrases “at least 20 inches” and “on the order of” in these claims has been added to the specification, the formal objections have been overcome.

Regarding the claim rejections, Applicant proposes by the foregoing amendment to incorporate the subject matter of allowable claim 10 into claim 1. Thus claim 1 corresponds to allowable claim 10 written in independent form and would therefore be allowable along with its dependent claims 2-5, 7 and 11 upon entry of this Amendment, which also cancels claim 10 and amends claim 11 to depend from claim 1.

Since the foregoing amendment places this application in condition for allowance,
entry thereof is deemed proper and is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Robert A. Cahill".

Robert A. Cahill, Reg. No. 20557
43273 Hill Head Place
Leesburg, VA 20176
(Phone: 703-771-8084)

DATE: August 15, 2006